Are You Starting Too Late?

A Head Start on the Path to Extraordinary

Think of the advantage to be gained by beginning some formal leadership development activity at an earlier age, rather than waiting for nearly a decade to begin.

by Jack Zenger
WE WAIT TOO LONG TO BEGIN DEVELOPING LEADERS

We were recently in discussions with the executive responsible for leadership development in a prominent Silicon Valley software firm that has been a long-term client. This organization has done a remarkable job of identifying top talent and providing ongoing development for them. As a result, middle managers to senior executives have greatly benefited from formal leadership development.

Unfortunately, most organizations wait too long to begin developing leaders. As a result, not only do organizations pay a big price, but individual careers are less than they could be, and society overall suffers. A clear change is required in the timing of an organization’s leadership development programs.

AT WHAT AGE DO WE START DEVELOPING LEADERS NOW?

Because Zenger Folkman works with a wide variety of large organizations from virtually all industry sectors worldwide, we have a large data base to examine. We analyzed data on roughly 17,000 leaders for whom we had precise data regarding their age at the time they participated in their company’s leadership development process.

Supervisors within these firms had an average age of 33, suggesting that these supervisors would typically wait 9 more years before participating in any development program. Research shows that these supervisors had been in their roles at least 3 years. The gap between the middle manager’s age and the supervisor’s age was 9 years, indicating that people remain in that role for nearly a decade.

Think of the advantage to be gained by beginning some formal leadership development activity at an earlier age, rather than waiting for nearly a decade to begin. Prior research has shown that less than 10% of leaders, left to their own devices, will have any personal plan of development without the encouragement of some formalized process sponsored by their company. Ponder the impact of leaders having an additional 9 years to develop leadership skills.

When do managers first get leadership training? On average, at age 42—about 10 years after they began supervising people.

Source: Zenger Folkman Database; HBR.org
LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT

ADVANTAGES OF STARTING EARLIER

Easier to learn
Most readers can identify with the advantages of learning a skill at a younger versus an older age. If you go to a tennis club and watch the younger players who have had excellent instruction at a young age, and then visit a typical public court and watch young people playing who have had no instruction, the differences are obvious. A few hours or days of instruction and correct practice have created different levels of skill.

Leadership principles can be learned at a young age. Years ago, I was involved with a firm that experimented with teaching leadership principles and skills to elementary school age children. We were training supervisors and managers for large corporations and we experimented with teaching the exact same concepts to 3rd and 4th graders. When we did that, we discovered that they clearly got the message, and went home and informed their parents when they failed to utilize these principles with them. One example of these principles included focusing on situations, challenges, and behaviors rather than on the individual. Another principle was working to preserve the self-esteem and self-confidence of your colleagues. I can’t help smiling when I think of a 3rd grader correcting their parent on a basic leadership principle.

We’re not arguing leadership development is solely the corporation’s responsibility. Nor do we expect school systems to revamp their curriculum to include this, even though there would be huge benefits.

But if we want extraordinary leaders, why not begin to find those interested in becoming effective leaders and help them accelerate their progress. It is true that younger people are given some opportunities to serve as class president or captain of the basketball team; but there is little or no guidance or instruction about how to excel in those jobs. It’s a sink or swim experience for most. That continues throughout their high school and college experience, with few exceptions. Some MBA programs are now including leadership development in their curricula, but even that is a fairly recent innovation.

Avoids acquiring bad habit
I ski with my children and grandchildren who learned to ski at early ages. This is in marked contrast to my experience of learning at the age of 41. They learned the fundamentals very early and well. They didn’t pick up any bad habits. Instructors pushed them to move to more difficult slopes while maintaining good form. As you would suppose, there is a huge difference in skill level.

Longer time to practice
You may have heard it said that “practice makes perfect.” In reality, however, that is totally incorrect. Practicing bad habits ingrains them all the more. Simply practicing does not automatically create excellent skills. Go back to a sports analogy for a moment. Many aspiring golfers visit a local driving range to hit a bucket of balls. They leave feeling that they have done something to help them become a better golfer. Contrast that person with the aspiring golfer who goes to a range on which he can draw a circle 20 feet in diameter. The aspiring golfer then moves back a short distance and proceeds to hit balls until 80% land in the circle. Then the golfer moves further back, takes a different club and does the same thing. That is deliberate, focused and productive practice. Perfect practice makes perfect performance.

The research on expert performance in any discipline, whether it be music, golf, or chess confirms that experts:
1. are created by beginning at an early age
2. have an adult who guided them
3. practice 10,000 hours in contrast to the majority who might practice 2,000 hours

The organization benefits from improved skills
Of necessity, the young supervisor is practicing leadership from day one. She is in the role, but often in the “hitting a bucket of balls” mode, rather than deliberate, focused practice. From the day this supervisor was put in that position, habits began to be formed. Attitudes were being created. Management practices began to coalesce. Would it not be in the organization’s and the individual’s best interest to begin that process the moment they are selected for that position?

The longer we wait, the less success we’re likely to have
For as long as I can recall, there have been those who have observed, “With all the money and effort being spent on leadership development programs, why don’t we have better leaders?” The answer to that question is obviously complex, but could a part of the answer be that we have simply waited too long to develop these skills? It may be possible to teach old dogs new tricks, but there’s no question that it becomes much more difficult over time.

OUR PROPOSAL

1. Continue to emphasize promotions from within
There is ample data to support the fact that promotions from within tend to fare better than bringing people in from the outside. Yes, there are clearly times when that is the right thing to do, but it is both risky and expensive. Programs that identify those with leadership potential and then provide that development clearly pay off. Companies such as General Electric and Procter & Gamble and have demonstrated that to work.
2. Focus more attention on Gen Y
Here’s the classic breakdown of generational groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generational Group</th>
<th>Born From</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traditionalists or silent</td>
<td>1925 to 1945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>generation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baby boomers</td>
<td>1946 to 1954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen X</td>
<td>1955 to 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen Y</td>
<td>1977 to 1998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Today we are devoting roughly three-fourths of our development effort to Gen X and 20% on Gen Y. We concur with our colleague from the Silicon Valley software firm—we would be wise to invest more in the development of the Gen Y group. Why? One of the stereotypes we have about the youngest generation is that they are more focused on themselves and less focused on company objectives. After analyzing the data on these different groups we learned that the Gen Y group had the highest scores when it came to driving for results, followed by the traditionalists. The Baby Boomers received the lowest scores.

Gen Y is sometimes stereotyped as being self-centered. Yet on the leadership competence of Collaboration and Teamwork, they were at the 60th percentile, while the percentile scores were lower for each older generation. The traditionalists, for example, were at the 46th percentile.

Probably to no-one’s surprise, the Gen Y group received the highest scores on Innovation.

The final surprise was the extremely high scores of the Gen Y group on the dimension of practicing self-development. Here they were at the 64th percentile while the Boomers were at the 52nd percentile. This contradicts the image of “complacent know-it-alls” that is held by some.

Conclusion: What are the risks?
Are there risks? The naysayers will argue that the individual in whom you’ve invested at a younger age may move on and the investment will be lost. That is correct. However, the organization has gained from the improved performance of this person while employed by them. Plus, the likelihood of talented people leaving diminishes if they feel they are constantly improving and developing. Furthermore our overall talent pool in society has been improved.
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